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Many Americans are rightly worried that their children are not learning the basics needed to thrive in the competitive global economy. President Bush’s solution is to raise standards by testing both Johnny and his teachers.

The argument for the policy is simple: Provide tangible rewards to those who succeed, in the form of more money and access to educational and job opportunities; and punish principals, teachers and students for their failures.

Does it work? In Texas the scores are up, and the new President assures us he will bring the Texas miracle to the entire nation.

A closer look at both the size and educational significance of the gains in Texas, California, and elsewhere tells a different story. The gains average 5 percentile points. On a fifty-item standardized reading test, this is a gain of 2.5 multiple-choice test questions – paltry considering the many billions spent in direct and indirect costs, and the enormous commitment of school time, energy and resources devoted to coaching students on tests.

It is also important to recall what standardized reading tests actually measure: the ability to scan quickly the texts of a set of unconnected paragraphs and, for each passage, to pick the correct answers to questions from a set of four or five alternatives. As useful as this skill may sometimes be, it has little to do with reading as you or I know it, whether we do it for a practical purpose, for pleasure, or for inspiration.

The questions surrounding the validity of these tests are no secret. The Office of Civil Rights in 2000 issued guidelines asserting that the use of test scores as the single factor to determine retention, graduation, and college admission is improper, and possibly a Civil Rights violation. The 1999 standards of the leading professional research associations assert that an educational test, to be valid, must contribute to student learning, and that test validity cannot be established without consideration of a test's negative consequences.

Numerous studies confirm that heavy reliance on standardized tests degrades the curriculum and marginalizes whatever does not contribute directly to short-term gains in test scores, including critical thinking, multicultural studies, citizenship education, the arts, physical education, and bilingual education. And high-stakes testing increases illiteracy by pushing more and more students out of school.

Among the most disturbing consequence of state-mandated tests is that the students who are first in line for a culturally truncated curriculum and are most likely to drop out of high school are the poor, immigrants, and people of color.

If President Bush is to become the unifier as he promises, he must forthrightly address these concerns.

First, we must invest in the education of teachers and greatly improve their salaries and working conditions. This is essential if we are to increase the chances that every child is taught by teachers who know the subjects they teach, how to teach, and how to relate effectively to children, parents, and the community. Without competent and committed teachers who are paid decent wages, no test, however well designed, no educational leader, however capable and inspirational, will improve the quality of teaching and learning in the schools.
Second, the extreme inequalities in resources in the nation’s schools must not be tolerated. This nation has the wealth and resources to provide every child with access to qualified teachers, a well-stocked library, up-to-date textbooks and teaching materials, and safe, clean, and inviting schools.

Finally, our political leaders and school officials must address the institutional racism that is pervasive in schooling policy and practices, which includes the reliance on standardized tests for making judgments about school quality as well as about the achievement or potential of individual students.

Bush’s call for more high stakes tests tied to punitive consequences will harm all children, most of all children of the poor and of color. Eliminating high stakes testing will not, of course, solve the problem of providing all children with the skills and knowledge they will need to confront the challenges of the 21st Century. But it would be an important step toward helping Johnny – and Juanita, Jamal, and Jane – to read and think clearly, rather than merely helping them take tests.
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